arXiv:1204.4272v1 [math-ph] 19 Apr 2012

Conformal transformations and doubling of the particle states

-

A. I. Machavariani[a,b]

[a] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow Region 141980 Dubna, Russia*
[b] *High Energy Physics Institute of Tbilisi State University, University Str. 9*

Abstract

The 6D and 5D representations of the four-dimensional (4D) interacted fields and the corresponding equations of motion are obtained using equivalence of the conformal transformations of the four-momentum q_μ ($q'_\mu = q_\mu + h_\mu$, $q'_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu q_\nu$, $q'_\mu = \lambda q_\mu$ and $q'_\mu = -M^2 q_\mu/q^2$) and the corresponding rotations on the 6D cone $\kappa_A\kappa^A = 0$ ($A = \mu; 5, 6 \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3; 5, 6$) with $q_\mu = M\ \kappa_\mu/(\kappa_5 + \kappa_6)$ and the scale parameter M. The 4D reduction of the 6D fields on the cone $\kappa_A\kappa^A = 0$ require the intermediate 5D projection of the fields which are placed into two 5D hyperboloids $q_\mu q^\mu + q_5^2 = M^2$ and $q_\mu q^\mu - q_5^2 = -M^2$ in order to cover the whole domain $(-\infty, \infty)$ of $q^2 \equiv q_\mu q^\mu$ with $(q_5^2 \geq 0$. The resulting 5D and 4D fields $\varphi(x, x_5 = 0) = \Phi(x)$ in the coordinate space consist of two parts $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ and $\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$, where the Fourier conjugate of $\varphi_1(x, x_5)$ and $\varphi_2(x, x_5)$ are defined on the hyperboloids $q_\mu q^\mu + q_5^2 = M^2$ and $q_\mu q^\mu - q_5^2 = -M^2$ respectively. The present relationship between the 6D, 5D and 4D fields require two kinds of 5D fields $\varphi_\pm = \varphi_1 \pm \varphi_2$ and their 4D reductions $\varphi_\pm(x_5 = 0) = \Phi_\pm = \Phi_1 \pm \Phi_2$ with the same quantum numbers and with the different masses and the source operators. This doubling of the 4D fields $\Phi_\pm = \Phi_1 \pm \Phi_2$ is in agreement with the observed mass splitting of the electron and muon, π and $\pi(1300)$-mesons, N and $N(1440)$-nucleons etc [1].

Introduction

The conformal transformations of the four coordinate $x'_\mu = x_\mu + a_\mu$, $x'_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu x_\nu$, $x'_\mu = \lambda x_\mu$ and $x'_\mu = -\ell^2 x_\mu/x^2$ with the dimension parameter ℓ and the corresponding transformations of an interacting Heisenberg field $\Phi(x)$ are equivalent to the 6 dimensional (6D) rotations of the coordinates ξ_A and the corresponding transformations of the 6D field $\varsigma(\xi) \equiv \varsigma(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3; \xi_5, \xi_6)$ [2]-[16], where ξ_A are placed on the 6D cone $\xi_A\xi^A \equiv \xi_\mu\xi^\mu + \xi_5\xi^5 - \xi_6\xi^6 = 0$ $(A = 0, 1, 2, 3; 5, 6 \equiv \mu; 5, 6)$ and the 4D coordinate x_μ $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ are defined through ξ_A as $x_\mu = \xi_\mu/\xi_+$ $\xi_\pm = (\xi_5 \pm \xi_6)/\ell$. In refs. [2]-[5] the 4D reduction of $\varsigma(\xi)$ was performed using the redefinition of the variables $\Big[\varsigma(\xi) \equiv \varsigma(x, \xi_+, \xi_-)\Big]_{\xi_A\xi^A=0}$ with the fixed scale parameter ξ_+ and $\xi_-/\xi_+ = x^2/\ell^2$. The other 4D reduction of $\varsigma(\xi)$ was used in the manifestly conformal invariant formulation [6]-[16], where the homogeneity of the conformal invariant 6D fields $\Big[\varsigma(\xi)\Big]_{\xi_A\xi^A=0} = \Big[\varsigma(x, \xi_+, \xi_A\xi^A = 0)$ over the scale variable ξ_+ is required, i.e. $\varsigma(x, \xi_+, \xi_A\xi^A = 0) = {\xi_+}^d \phi(x, \xi_A\xi^A = 0)$ and the 4D conformal invariant field is $\Phi(x) \equiv \phi(x, \xi_A\xi^A = 0)$.

Generally, the 4D fields $\Phi(x)$ and their 6D representations $\varsigma(\xi)$ are not invariant under the conformal transformations. Nevertheless, one can use the location of an arbitrary 6D field $\varsigma(\xi)$ on the 6D cone $\xi_A\xi^A = 0$ before and after the conformal transformations as the additional condition. For instance, the Fourier transformation of an arbitrary 6D field on the 6D cone gives

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\kappa^\mu\partial\kappa_\mu} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\kappa^5\partial\kappa_5} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\kappa^6\partial\kappa_6}\Big) \int d^6\xi e^{i\kappa_A\xi^A} \delta\Big(\xi_o^2 - \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2 + \xi_5^2 - \xi_6^2\Big)\varsigma(\xi) = 0, \quad (I.1a)$$

where κ_A are Fourier conjugate to ξ_A and the condition $(\xi_A\xi^A)\delta(\xi_A\xi^A) = 0$ was used.

In this paper the 4D and 5D reductions of the 6D fields $\varsigma(\xi)$ and their equations of motion are considered based on the conformal transformations in the momentum space. Two particular features generate the special interest to the conformal transformations in the momentum space[12]. First, the observables of the particle interactions, like the cross sections and polarizations are determined in the momentum space. Secondly, the accuracy of the measurement of the particle coordinates is in principle restricted by the Compton length of this particle. Moreover, determination of the coordinate of the conformal invariant massless particles generates additional essential troubles (see [11] ch. 20 and [18]). The conformal transformations of the fields and the corresponding equations of motion in the momentum space were considered in ref. [3, 5, 12, 17], where the conformal transformations were performed in the configuration space and followed relations in the momentum space were obtained using the Fourier transformation.

The conformal transformations of the four momentum q_μ ($q'_\mu = q_\mu + h_\mu$, $q'_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu q_\nu$, $q'_\mu = \lambda q_\mu$ and $q'_\mu = -M^2 q_\mu/q^2$) as the 6D rotations are similar with the conformal transformations in the coordinate space. According to the Dirac geometrical model [2]-[10], each of the conformal transformations is unambiguously determined via the appropriate 6D rotation with the invariance 6D form

$$\kappa_A\kappa^A \equiv \kappa_\mu\kappa^\mu + \kappa_5^2 - \kappa_6^2 = 0, \qquad (I.2a)$$

where the four momentum q_μ ($\mu = 0,1,2,3$) is defined as $q_\mu = \kappa_\mu/\kappa_+$ and M is a scale parameter. The 6D cone (I.2a) and the corresponding surface

$$q_\mu q^\mu + M^2\frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+} = 0, \quad with \quad \kappa_\pm = \frac{\kappa_5 \pm \kappa_6}{M} \qquad (I.2b)$$

are invariant under an arbitrary combination of the conformal transformations of q_μ. Consequently, the conformal transformations of a 4D field $\Phi(q)$ can be performed as the 6D rotations of the corresponding 6D field operator $\varsigma(\kappa)$ which is embedded into the cone (I.2a), i.e. in analogy to (I.1a) we have the location condition on the 6D cone (I.2a)

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^\mu\partial\xi_\mu} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^5\partial\xi_5} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^6\partial\xi_6}\Big)\int\frac{d^6\kappa}{(2\pi)^4}e^{i\kappa_A\xi^A}\delta\Big(\kappa_\mu\kappa^\mu + \kappa_5^2 - \kappa_6^2\Big)\varsigma(\kappa) = 0. \qquad (I.1b)$$

The 4D reduction of the 6D operators $\varsigma(\kappa) \equiv \varsigma(q,\kappa_+,\kappa_-)$ generates the 5D operators as the intermediate 5D projections. There exists only two 5D De Sitter spaces with the constant curvature which have the invariant forms $q_\mu q^\mu \pm q_5^2 \mp M^2 = 0$ of the $O(2,3)$ and $O(1,4)$ rotational groups [10, 16, 20]. Therefore, it is convenient to use the following two 5D hyperboloids for the intermediate 5D projections

$$q_\mu q^\mu + q_5^2 = M^2 \quad with \quad \frac{q_5^2}{M^2} = \frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+} + 1, \qquad (I.3a)$$

$$q_\mu q^\mu - q_5^2 = -M^2 \quad with \quad \frac{q_5^2}{M^2} = -\frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+} + 1. \qquad (I.3b)$$

For the sake of simplicity the scale variable of the conformal group κ_+ (I.2b) is taken in positive i.e. $\phi(\kappa) = \theta(\kappa_+)\phi(\kappa)$, where $\theta(\kappa_+) = 1$ for $\kappa_+ > 0$ and $\theta(\kappa_+) = 0$ for $\kappa_+ < 0$.

The 5D hyperboloids (I.3a,b) are invariant under the conformal transformations of the four momentum q_μ because of invariance of the 6D cone (I.2a) under the corresponding 6D rotations. It is easy to check that the positive $q^2 \equiv q_\mu q^\mu \geq 0$ are distributed between domains $0 \leq q^2 \leq M^2$ and $q^2 > M^2$ on the hyperboloids (I.3a) and (I.3b) correspondingly. The values of the q^2 on the hyperboloids (I.3a) and (I.3b) are connected by the reflection $q^2 \longleftrightarrow -q^2$. Therefore, for the negative $q^2 < 0$ one has $-M^2 < q^2 < 0$ on the hyperboloid (I.3b) and $-\infty < q^2 < -M^2$ is on the hyperboloid (I.3a). Both of the hyperboloids (I.3a,b) ensure the complete and unambiguous reproduction of q^2 in the whole domain $(-\infty, +\infty)$. More detailed the distributions of q^2 on the hyperboloids (I.3a) and (I.3b) are listed in Table 1 of Section 2.

The equivalence of the 4D and 6D conformal transformations implies that translation $\Phi'(q'_\mu = q_\mu + h_\mu)$, rotation $\Phi'(q'_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu q_\nu)$, dilatation $\Phi'(q'_\mu = \lambda q_\nu)$ and inversion $\Phi'(q'_\mu = -q_\mu/q^2)$ of the 4D field are unambiguously determined through the corresponding 6D rotations of the 6D field $\varsigma'(\kappa_\mu + h_\mu\kappa_+, \kappa_+, \kappa_- = -2h_\mu\kappa^\mu/\kappa_+ - \kappa_\mu\kappa^\mu/\kappa_+)$,

$\varsigma'(\kappa'_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu \kappa_\nu, \kappa_+, \kappa_-)$, $\varsigma'(\kappa_\mu, \lambda\kappa_+, \lambda^{-1}\kappa_-)$ and $\varsigma(\kappa_\mu, \kappa_-, \kappa_+)$ respectively. This relationship between the 4D and 6D operators $\Phi(q)$ and $\varsigma(\kappa)$ is achieved in (I.1b) through the function $\delta(\kappa_A\kappa^A)$. Further we shall use the other Fourier transformation of $\delta(\kappa_A\kappa^A)\varsigma(\kappa)$ for determination of the 5D field $\varphi(x, x_5)$

$$\varphi(x,x_5) = \int \frac{d^4\kappa}{(2\pi)^4} exp(-i\kappa_\mu\xi^\mu)\frac{d\kappa_5 d\kappa_6}{M}\theta(\kappa_+)\delta\Big(\kappa_\mu\kappa^\mu + \kappa_5^2 - \kappa_6^2\Big)\varsigma(\kappa)$$

$$\Big\{exp\Big(-ix_5\sqrt{\frac{2M\kappa_5}{\kappa_+}}\Big)\theta(\kappa_5)\Big[\theta(\kappa_5-\kappa_6)\theta(\kappa_6)+\theta(-\kappa_6)\Big]+exp\Big(-ix_5\sqrt{\frac{2M\kappa_6}{\kappa_+}}\Big)\theta(\kappa_6)\Big[\theta(-\kappa_5)+\theta(\kappa_6-\kappa_5)\theta(\kappa_5)\Big]\Big\} \qquad (I.4)$$

One can rewrite (I.4) in the convenient and compact form using the variable q_μ, q_5 (I.3a,b) and the decomposition of $\varphi(x, x_5)$ over the two parts

$$\varphi(x,x_5) = \varphi_1(x,x_5) + \varphi_2(x,x_5), \qquad (I.5)$$

where

$$\varphi_1(x,x_5) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} dq_5^2 e^{-iqx-iq^5x_5}\delta(q^2+q_5^2-M^2)\Big[\theta(q^2)\theta(M^2-q^2)+\theta(-q^2)\theta(-M^2-q^2)]\Big]\phi(q,q_5^2), \qquad (I.6a)$$

$$\varphi_2(x,x_5) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} dq_5^2 e^{-iqx-iq^5x_5}\delta(q^2-q_5^2+M^2)\Big[\theta(q^2)\theta(-M^2+q^2)+\theta(-q^2)\theta(M^2+q^2)\Big]\phi(q,q_5^2). \qquad (I.6b)$$

where $qx = \kappa_\mu\xi^\mu$, $x_\mu = \kappa_+\xi_\mu$ and

$$\phi(q,q_5^2) = \int \kappa_+^3 d\kappa_+ \theta(\kappa_+)\varsigma(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+). \qquad (I.7)$$

The Fourier conjugate of $\varphi_1(x_\mu, x_5)$ (I.6a) and $\varphi_2(x_\mu, x_5)$ (I.6b) contain the δ functions $\delta(q^2 \pm q_5^2 \mp M^2)$. Therefore, $\varphi_1(x_\mu, x_5)$ (I.6a) and $\varphi_2(x_\mu, x_5)$ (I.6b) satisfy the 5D conditions of location of the Fourier conjugate of these fields on the hyperboloids (I.3a,b)

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} + M^2\Big)\varphi_1(x,x_5) = 0, \qquad \Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} - M^2\Big)\varphi_2(x,x_5) = 0. \qquad (I.8)$$

Combining the conditions (I.8) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu}\Big(\varphi_1(x,x_5) \pm \varphi_2(x,x_5)\Big) + \Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} + M^2\Big)\Big(\varphi_1(x,x_5) \mp \varphi_2(x,x_5)\Big) = 0. \qquad (I.9)$$

The necessary conditions of the conformal transformations in the momentum space (I.9) require coupling of the full 5D fields $\varphi \equiv \varphi_+ = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ and $\varphi_- = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$. These fields have the same quantum number. But φ_+ and φ_- can have the different masses and the different source operators. φ_+ and φ_- consist of the same parts which in the momentum space are separated by the border points $q^2 = 0$ and $q^2 = M^2$.

For $x_5 = 0$ the field $\varphi_\pm(x, x_5 = 0)$ transforms into the 4D field $\Phi_\pm(x)$ i.e. the 5D reduction formulas (I.4), (I.6a,b) and (I.7) allow obtain the 4D fields

$$\Phi_\pm(x) = \varphi_\pm(x, x_5 = 0) \tag{I.10}$$

The variables $q^2 = q_\mu q^\mu$ and q^μ in (I.6a,b) single-valued cover the domain $(-\infty, \infty)$. Therefore, the Fourier conjugate of $\Phi_\pm(x)$ (I.10) are free of the double counting for any q^2. The auxiliary variables q_5^2 (I.3a.b) and κ_5 and κ_6 cover only the special domains of the hyperboloids (I.3a,b) and the 6D cone (I.2a) as it follows from the integrals (I.6a,b) and (I.4).

We shall demonstrate that the boundary condition (I.10) allows to construct $\Phi_-(x)$ and the corresponding equation of motion starting from the 4D field $\Phi_+(x)$ and its equation of motion. On the other hand one can determine $\Phi_\pm(x)$ from the full 6D and 5D fields ς and ϕ according to equations (I.5), (I.6a,b) and (I.7).

The boundary condition (I.10) for $x_5 = 0$ can be extended for an arbitrary value $x_5 = t_5$ if one replaces x_5 by $x_5 - t_5$. In particular, $t_5 = \sqrt{x_0^2 - \mathbf{x}^2}$ in the formulation with the proper time. For the sake of simplicity in the following formulation we take $t_5 = 0$

The reduction formulas (I.4) and (I.7) of the 6D operator $\varsigma(\kappa) \equiv \varsigma(\kappa_\mu, \kappa_+, \kappa_A\kappa^A \neq 0)$ $\equiv \varsigma(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+)$ differ from the reduction formula in the manifestly covariant formulation [6]-[16], where the homogeneity of $\varsigma(\kappa) = \phi_{(}q_\mu, \kappa_+, \kappa_A\kappa^A = 0)$ over the scale variable κ_+ is required, i.e.

$$\phi(q_\mu, \kappa_+, \kappa_A\kappa^A = 0) = {\kappa_+}^d\Phi(q_\mu), \tag{I.11}$$

where d is the scale dimension of $\phi(\kappa)$. In order to reproduce (I.11) in the present approach one can require in (I.7) that

$$\varsigma(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+) = \delta(\kappa_+ - \mathcal{M})\phi(q, q_5^2, \mathcal{M}); \qquad or \qquad \varsigma(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+) = \theta(\kappa_+ - \mathcal{M})\phi(q, q_5^2, \mathcal{M}) \tag{I.12}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a fixed or maximal scale parameter.

The 5D formulation of the quantum field theory with the invariance forms $q_\mu q^\mu + q_5^2 = M^2$ or $q_\mu q^\mu - q_5^2 = -M^2$ separately was suggested in refs. [19, 20, 21], where the scale parameter M was interpreted as the fundamental (maximal) mass and its inverse $1/M$ as the fundamental (minimal) length [22, 23]. In the present formulation M has the meaning of a auxiliary mass parameter which indicates the scale of the violation of the conformal and chiral symmetries.

In Section 1 the conformal transformations of the interacted particle field operator is considered in the 4D momentum space. The rotation and dilatation in the coordinate and momentum spaces are simply connected by the Fourier transformation. Therefore, in Section 1 the special attention was given for the four-momentum translation $q'_\mu = q_\mu + h_\mu$ and for the four-momentum inversion $q'_\mu = -M^2 q_\mu / q^2$. The domains for the varuables $q^2 = q_\mu q^\mu$ and q_5^2 (I.3a,b) are determined in Sect. 2. The 4D reduction of the 5D fields and the related projection and convolution formulas are given in Sect. 3. In Sections 4 and 5 the 4D reduction of the 5D equations of motion and the corresponding boundary conditions over x_5 are considered. Sections 6 is devoted to the 5D and 4D Lagrangians. The 5D gauge transformation for the fermions are constructed in Sect. 7. Section 8 deals with the gauge transformations as the generalizes translations in the momentum space. The summary is given in Sect. 9.

1. Conformal transformations in the 4D momentum space.

Conformal transformation group of a four-momentum q_μ ($\mu = 0,1,2,3$) consists of translations

$$T(h): \qquad q_\mu \longrightarrow q'_\mu = q_\mu + h_\mu, \tag{1.1a}$$

rotations

$$R(\Lambda): \qquad q_\mu \longrightarrow q'_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu q_\nu, \tag{1.1b}$$

dilatation

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda): \qquad q_\mu \longrightarrow q'_\mu = e^\lambda \; q_\mu, \tag{1.1c}$$

and inversions

$$I(M^2): \qquad q_\mu \longrightarrow q'_\mu = -M^2 q_\mu / q^2, \tag{1.1d}$$

where the constant M insures the correct dimension of q'_μ. Translations and inversions form the special conformal transformation

$$\mathcal{K}(M^2, \hbar) \equiv I(M^2) T(\hbar) I(M^2): \qquad q_\mu \longrightarrow q'_\mu = \frac{q_\mu - \hbar_\mu q^2/M^2}{1 - 2q_\nu \hbar^\nu/M^2 + \hbar^2 q^2/M^4}. \tag{1.1e}$$

q_μ in (1.1a)-(1.1e) is off mass shell, since $q_o \neq \sqrt{\mathbf{q}^2 + m^2}$. Hereafter the on mass shell 4D momentum will be denoted as p_μ ($p^2 = m^2$, $p_o \geq 0$).

Following the Dirac geometrical model [2], transformations (1.1a)-(1.1e) may by realized as rotations on the 6D cone

$$\kappa^2 \equiv \kappa_A \kappa^A = \kappa_\mu \kappa^\mu + \kappa_5^2 - \kappa_6^2 = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where the metric tensor is $g_{AB} = diag(+1,-1,-1,-1,+1,-1)$ and

$$q_\mu = \frac{\kappa_\mu}{\kappa_+}; \qquad \kappa_+ = (\kappa_5 + \kappa_6)/M; \qquad \mu = 0,1,2,3; \tag{1.3}$$

and the scale parameter κ_+ is dimensionless.

Conformal transformations (1.1a)-(1.1e) of an interacted particle field $\Phi(x)$:

An interacted particle field $\Phi(x)$ is usually expanded in the positive and in the negative frequency parts in the 3D Fock space

$$\Phi(x)=\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}\Big[a_{\mathbf{p}}(x_0)e^{-ipx}+b^{+}{}_{\mathbf{p}}(x_0)e^{ipx}\Big];\quad p_o\equiv\omega_{\mathbf{p}}=\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2+m^2}, \tag{1.4}$$

where in the asymptotic regions $a_{\mathbf{p}}(x_0)$ and $b^{+}{}_{\mathbf{p}}(x_0)$ transforms into particle (antiparticle) annihilation (creation) operators. On the other hand, $\Phi(x)$ may be expanded in the 4D momentum space

$$\Phi(x)=\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\Phi^{(+)}(q)e^{-iqx}+\Phi^{(-)^{+}}(q)e^{iqx}\Big]. \tag{1.5}$$

Comparison of (1.4) and (1.5) gives

$$\frac{e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}x_o}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}a_{\mathbf{p}}(x_o)=\int\frac{dq_o}{2\pi}\Phi^{(+)}(q_o,\mathbf{p})e^{-iq_ox_o} \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\frac{e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}x_o}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}b^{+}{}_{\mathbf{p}}(x_0)=\int\frac{dq_o}{2\pi}\Phi^{(-)^{+}}(q_o,\mathbf{p})e^{iq_ox_o}. \tag{1.7}$$

The field operators $a_{\mathbf{p}}(x_0)$ and $b^{+}{}_{\mathbf{p}}(x_o)$ are simply determined via the corresponding source operator $\partial/\partial x_0 a_{\mathbf{p}}(x_0)=i\int d^3x e^{ipx}j(x)$, where $\Big(\partial^2/\partial x_\mu\partial x^\mu+m^2\Big)\Phi(x)=j(x)$. Moreover, these operators determine the transition $\mathcal{S}$-matrix

$$\mathcal{S}_{mn}\equiv< out;\mathbf{p}'{}_1,...,\mathbf{p}'{}_m|\mathbf{p}_1,...,\mathbf{p}_n;in>=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\Big[\int dx^{0'}{}_i\frac{d}{dx'^{0}{}_i}\Big]$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Big[\int dx^{0}{}_j\frac{d}{dx^{0}{}_j}\Big]<0|T\Big(a_{\mathbf{p}'{}_m}(x^{0'}{}_m),...,a_{\mathbf{p}'{}_1}(x^{0'}{}_1)a^{+}_{\mathbf{p}_n}(x^{0}{}_n),...,a^{+}_{\mathbf{p}_1}(x^{0}{}_1)\Big)|0>. \tag{1.8}$$

The conformal transformations of the 4D field $\Phi^{(\pm)}(q)$ (1.5) in the momentum space can be represented as

$$\Phi^{(\pm)}(q)\to\Phi^{(\pm)'}(q')=\mathcal{U}(g)\Phi^{(\pm)}(q)\mathcal{U}^{-1}(g)=\mathcal{T}\Phi^{(\pm)}(g^{-1}q), \tag{1.9}$$

where g indicates one of the (1.1a)-(1.1e) transformations $g\equiv\Big(T(h),R(\Lambda),\mathcal{D}(\lambda),\mathcal{K}(M,h)\Big)$, $\mathcal{T}$ is the spin-isopin matrix and $\mathcal{U}(g)$ are defined through the generators of the corresponding transformations:

$$T(h):\qquad \mathcal{U}(h)=e^{ih_\mu\mathcal{X}^\mu};\qquad \Big[\mathcal{X}_\mu,\Phi^{(\pm)}(q)\Big]=-i\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}\Phi^{(\pm)}(q), \tag{1.10a}$$

$$R(\Lambda):\qquad \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)=e^{i\Lambda_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}};\quad \Big[\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu},\Phi^{(\pm)}(q)\Big]=-i\Big(q_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\nu}\ -\ q_\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}-i\Sigma_{\mu\nu}\Big)\Phi^{(\pm)}(q) \tag{1.10b}$$

where $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for scalars, $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = i/4[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ for fermions and $(\Sigma_{\mu\nu}V_\rho) = ig_{\mu\rho}V_\nu - ig_{\nu\rho}V_\mu$ for the vectors V_ρ.

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda): \qquad \mathcal{U}(\lambda) = e^{i\lambda D}; \qquad \Big[D, \Phi^{(\pm)}(q)\Big] = -i\Big(q_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} + id_m\Big)\Phi^{(\pm)}(q), \tag{1.10c}$$

where d_m indicates the scale dimension of the field in the momentum space. For example, $d_m = -2$ in the scale-invariant case.

$$\mathcal{K}(M, \hbar): \qquad \mathcal{U}(\hbar) = e^{i\hbar_\mu K^\mu}; \qquad \Big[K_\mu, \Phi^{(\pm)}(q)\Big] = -\Big(2q_\mu D - q^2\mathcal{X}_\mu + 2iq^\nu\Sigma_{\mu\nu}\Big)\Phi^{(\pm)}(q). \tag{1.10d}$$

According to (1.5) the conformal transformations of $\Phi^{(\pm)}(q)$ (1.9) generates the corresponding transformations of $\Phi'(x)$

$$\Phi'(x) = \mathcal{U}(g)\Big\{\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\Phi^{(+)}(q)e^{-iqx} + {\Phi^{(-)}}^{+}(q)e^{iqx}\Big]\Big\}\mathcal{U}^{-1}(g). \tag{1.11}$$

In particular, eq.(1.11) implies following transformations:

Four-momentum translation:

For the particle field operator in the configuration space (1.5) the four-momentum translation (1.10a) is equivalent to

$$\Phi'(x) = e^{ih_\mu\mathcal{X}^\mu}\Phi(x)e^{-ih_\mu\mathcal{X}^\mu} \tag{1.12}$$

Using (1.12), (1.9) and (1.5) one obtains

$$\Phi'(x) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\Phi^{(+)}(q+h)e^{-i(q+h)x} + {\Phi^{(-)}}^{+}(q+h)e^{i(q+h)x}\Big] = \Phi(x) \qquad (1.13).$$

Similarly

$$a_{\mathbf{p}'}(x_o) = a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{h}}(x_o); \quad {b^{+}}'_{\mathbf{p}}(x_o) = {b^{+}}_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{h}}(x_o). \tag{1.14}$$

According to (1.8) and (1.14) the four momentum translation (1.12) gives

$$\mathcal{S}'_{mn} =< out; \mathbf{p'_1} + \mathbf{h}, ..., \mathbf{p'_m} + \mathbf{h}|\mathbf{p_1} + \mathbf{h}, ..., \mathbf{p_n} + \mathbf{h}; in > \tag{1.15}$$

This expression differs from usual $\mathcal{S}_{mn}$ by a shift of the position of the origin in the 3D momentum space. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}'_{mn} = \mathcal{S}_{mn}$ because only the relative momenta are physically meaningful. A more complicated shift of a four-momentum operator $\widehat{P}'_\mu = \widehat{P}_\mu - < 0|\widehat{P}_\mu|0 >$ is often used in quantum field theory concerning the so-called zero-mode problem (see for example ch. 12 of [25]).

Rotation (1.1b) and dilatation (1.1c) of q_μ transforms $\Phi(x)$ (1.5) according to eq. (1.11). These transformations can be performed using an inverse rotation and dilatation of the conjugate coordinate x_μ. In particular, rotations $q'_\mu = \Lambda_{\mu\nu}q^\nu$ gives

$$R(\Lambda): \qquad \Phi'(x_\mu) = \mathcal{T}\Phi(\Lambda^{-1}_{\mu\nu} x^\nu), \tag{1.16}$$

and the dilatation $q'_\mu = e^\lambda \, q_\mu$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda): \qquad \Phi'(x) = e^{4\lambda}\Phi(e^{-\lambda} \, x). \tag{1.17}$$

Special conformal transformation and inversion: Inversion of q_μ (1.1e) produces

$$\Phi^I(x) = \int \frac{d^4 q^I}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[\Phi^{I(+)}\Big((q^I)\Big) e^{-iq^I x} + \Phi^{I(-)^+}\Big((q^I)\Big) e^{iq^I x}\Big], \tag{1.18}$$

where the upper index I stands for a inversion.

A translation of q_μ does not change $\Phi(x)$ and double inversion does not change the four momentum $(q^I_\mu)^I = q_\mu$. Therefore, $\Phi(x)$ transforms under the special conformal transformation $\mathcal{K}(M^2, \hbar) \equiv I(M^2) T(\hbar) I(M^2)$ (1.1e) as

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{K}}(x) = \Phi(x). \tag{1.19}$$

2. Definition of the domains of q^2 and q_5^2

One can rewrite the invariant form $\kappa_A \kappa^A = 0$ (I.2a) of the $O(2,4)$ group as

$$q^2 + M^2 \frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+} = 0, \tag{2.1a}$$

where

$$q_\mu = \frac{\kappa_\mu}{\kappa_+}; \qquad \kappa_\pm = \frac{\kappa_5 \pm \kappa_6}{M}. \tag{2.1b}$$

It is convenient to use the auxiliary fifth momentum q_5^2 instead of κ_5/κ_6 in (2.1a). This procedure implies a projection of the 6D rotational invariant form $\kappa_A \kappa^A = 0$ into corresponding 5D forms. In order to cover the whole domain $-\infty \leq q^2 \equiv q_\mu q^\mu \leq +\infty$ one has to distribute q^2 and corresponding q_5^2 between the few 5D hyperboloids. Hereafter we shall consider the distribution of the variables q^2 and q_5^2 between the following two hyperboloids

$$q^2 + q_5^2 = M^2 \qquad with \qquad q_5^2 = M^2 \frac{2\kappa_5}{\kappa_5 + \kappa_6}, \tag{2.2a}$$

and

$$q^2 - q_5^2 = -M^2 \qquad with \qquad q_5^2 = M^2 \frac{2\kappa_6}{\kappa_5 + \kappa_6}. \tag{2.2b}$$

The variables κ_+ and q_5^2 onto hyperboloids (2.2a,b) are defined in positive. Correspondingly, κ_5 on the hyperboloid (2.2a) and κ_6 in (2.2b) are also positive. The domains of the variables q^2, q_5^2, κ_5 and κ_6 defined on the surface (2.1a) or on the 5D hyperboloids

$q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ (2.2a,b) are listed in Table 1. The border points $q^2 = 0$, $q^2 = M^2$ and $q^2 = -M^2$ are included in the domain **I** and **IV** of Table 1.

It must be emphasized, that the domain $M^2 < q_5^2 < 2M^2$ of $\phi(q, q_5^2)$ is excluded by construction of $\varphi_{1,2}(x, x_5)$ (I.6a,b). Moreover, the variables κ_5 and κ_6 cover also only the part of the 6D cone $\kappa_A\kappa^A = 0$ (I.2a) and the definition area of the function $(\kappa_5 - \kappa_6)/(\kappa_5 + \kappa_6)$ in (I.2b) or (2.1a). The comparison f the distribution of the variables $\kappa_\mu\kappa^\mu$, κ_5, κ_6 in the Fourier conjugate of $\varphi_a(x, x_5)$ is given in the expression (I.4) which produces exactly the sum of the expressions (I.6a,b) with the distribution of the variables q^2 and q_5^2. The principal restriction by distributions of the auxiliary variables κ_5, κ_6 and q_5^2 is that the corresponding q^2 cover the whole domain $(-\infty, +\infty)$. This property allows to construct unambiguously the 4D field $\Phi_\pm(x) = \varphi_\pm(x, x_5 = 0)$.

Table 1 *Domains of q^2, q_5^2, κ_5 and κ_6 placed on the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ (2.2a,b) and on the surface (2.1a).*

	I	**II**	**III**	**IV**
	$q^2 + q_5^2 = M^2$	$q^2 - q_5^2 = -M^2$	$q^2 + q_5^2 = M^2$	$q^2 - q_5^2 = -M^2$
q^2	$0 \leq q^2 \leq M^2$	$M^2 < q^2 < \infty$	$-\infty < q^2 < -M^2$	$-M^2 \leq q^2 < 0$
q_5^2	$0 \leq q_5^2 \leq M^2$	$2M^2 < q_5^2 < \infty$	$2M^2 < q_5^2 < \infty$	$0 \leq q_5^2 < M^2$
κ_5&κ_6	$0 \leq \kappa_5 \leq \kappa_6$	$\kappa_6 > 0$; $\kappa_5 < 0$; $\kappa_5 + \kappa_6 > 0$	$\kappa_5 > 0$; $\kappa_6 < 0$; $\kappa_5 + \kappa_6 > 0$	$0 \leq \kappa_6 < \kappa_5$

The hyperboloids $q^2 + q_5^2 = M^2$ and $q^2 - q_5^2 = -M^2$ and the corresponding domains $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{III}$ and $\mathbf{II}, \mathbf{IV}$ transforms into each other by reflection $q^2 \longleftrightarrow -q^2$ which is generated by transposition of the variables κ_5 and κ_6

$$q^2 \longleftrightarrow -q^2 \qquad \kappa_5 = \kappa_6, \quad \kappa_6 = \kappa_5; \qquad \kappa_+ = \kappa_+, \quad \kappa_- = -\kappa_-. \tag{2.3}$$

The similar transformations of the hyperboloids $q^2 + q_5^2 = M^2$ and $q^2 - q_5^2 = -M^2$ and the corresponding domains $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{III}$ and $\mathbf{II}, \mathbf{IV}$ transforms into each other produces inversion (1.1d) which is generated by the following replacements of κ_5 and κ_6

$$I(M^2): \qquad \kappa_5^I = \kappa_5, \quad \kappa_6^I = -\kappa_6; \qquad \kappa_+^I = \kappa_-, \quad \kappa_-^I = \kappa_+. \tag{2.4}$$

which produces $q_\mu^I = -M^2 q_\mu/q^2$ and $(-q_5^2 + M^2)^I = M^4/({q_5}^2 - M^2)$ according to (2.1a,b). $(q^2)^I$ cover also the whole domain $(-\infty, \infty)$.

The choice of the 5D hyperboloids is not unique. The other set of the 5D hyperboloids is considered in Appendix A

3. 4D reductions of the 5D fields.

The present 5D generalization of the 4D field is

$$\varphi_+(x,x_5)=\varphi_1(x,x_5)+\varphi_2(x,x_5), \tag{3.1}$$

where the Fourier conjugates of $\varphi_1(x,x_5)$ (I.6a) and $\varphi_2(x,x_5)$ (I.6b) are defined on the 5D hyperboloids $q^2\pm q_5^2=\pm M^2$ (I.3a,b) and satisfy the conditions (I.8) correspondingly. One can rewrite the conditions(I.9) as combination of the conditions (I.8) as

$$\frac{\partial^2\varphi_\pm(x,x_5)}{\partial x^\mu\partial x_\mu}+\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5\partial x_5}+M^2\Big)\varphi_\mp(x,x_5)=0, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\varphi_-(x,x_5)=\varphi_1(x,x_5)-\varphi_2(x,x_5). \tag{3.1b}$$

Integration over q_5^2 in (I.6a,b) yields

$$\varphi_\pm(x,x_5)=\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-iqx}\Big[\phi(q,Q_1^2)\Lambda_1(q^2)e^{-iQ_1x_5}\pm\phi(q,Q_2^2)\Lambda_2(q^2)e^{-iQ_2x_5}\Big]. \tag{3.3a}$$

One can represent the expression (3.3a) in the for of the 5D convolution formula

$$\varphi_\pm(x,x_5)=\int d^5y\phi(x-y,x_5-y_5)\mathcal{P}_\pm(y,y_5), \tag{3.3b}$$

where $\phi(x,x_5)$ is the Fourier conjugate of $\phi(q,q_5^2)$ and

$$\Lambda_a(q^2)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\theta(q^2)\theta(M^2-q^2)+\theta(-q^2)\theta(-M^2-q^2) & \text{if } a=1;\\ \theta(q^2)\theta(-M^2+q^2)+\theta(-q^2)\theta(M^2+q^2) & \text{if } a=2,\end{array}\right. \tag{3.4}$$

$$Q_a^2=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}M^2-q^2 & \text{if } a=1;\\ M^2+q^2 & \text{if } a=2,\end{array}\right. \quad \textit{and} \quad Q_a=\sqrt{Q_a^2}. \tag{3.5}$$

The operators

$$\mathcal{P}_\pm(x,x_5)=\mathcal{P}_1(x,x_5)\pm\mathcal{P}_2(x,x_5) \tag{3.6a}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_a(x,x_5)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\int dq_5^2e^{-iq_5x_5}d^4q/(2\pi)^4e^{-iqx}\Lambda_1(q^2)\delta(q^2+q_5^2-M^2) & \text{if } a=1;\\ \int dq_5^2e^{-iq_5x_5}d^4q/(2\pi)^4e^{-iqx}\Lambda_2(q^2)\delta(q^2-q_5^2+M^2) & \text{if } a=2,\end{array}\right. \tag{3.6b}$$

generate the projection operators at $x_5=0$ which satisfy the orthogonality and completeness conditions

$$\int d^4y\mathcal{P}_a(x-y,0)\mathcal{P}_b(y,0)=\delta_{ab}\mathcal{P}_a(x,0); \tag{3.7}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_+(x,0)=\delta^{(4)}(x) \tag{3.8}$$

The 5D fields $\varphi_\pm$ (3.3a,b) consist of the parts of the full 5D field $\phi(q, q_5^2 = Q_a^2)$ in virtue of $\delta(q^2 \pm q_5^2 \mp M^2)$ in (I.6a,b). Consequently, the Fourier conjugate of the 4D fields $\Phi_\pm(x) = \varphi_\pm(x, x_5 = 0)$ are composed of the four parts of the 5D field $\phi(q, q_5^2)$

$$\Phi_I(q) = \theta(q^2)\theta(M^2 - q^2)\phi(q, q_5^2 = M^2 - q^2); \quad \Phi_{II}(q) = \theta(q^2)\theta(-M^2 + q^2)\phi(q, q_5^2 = M^2 + q^2);$$
$$\Phi_{III}(q) = \theta(-q^2)\theta(-M^2 - q^2)\phi(q, q_5^2 = M^2 - q^2); \quad \Phi_{IV}(q) = \theta(-q^2)\theta(M^2 + q^2)\phi(q, q_5^2 = M^2 + q^2), \tag{3.9}$$

where the lower index I, II, III and IV of $\Phi(q)$ corresponds to the domain of q^2 in Table 1. Using (1.5) and (3.4) we obtain for $\Phi_+(q) \equiv \Phi(q)$ and its Fourier conjugate

$$\Phi_\pm(q) = \sum_{N=I,III} \Phi_N(q) \pm \sum_{N=II,IV} \Phi_N(q); \tag{3.10a}$$

and

$$\Phi_\pm(x) = \varphi_\pm(x, x_5 = 0) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-iqx} \Big[\phi(q, q_5^2 = M^2 - q^2)\Lambda_1(q^2) \pm \phi(q, q_5^2 = M^2 + q^2)\Lambda_2(q^2)\Big]. \tag{3.10b}$$

The equations (I.7) and (3.10a,b) determine the 4D reduction of the 5D field $\varphi_\pm$ (3.1a,b).

It must be noted that $\phi(q, M^2, M^2 < q_5^2 < 2M^2)$ does not contribute in the 4D fields $\Phi_\pm(x)$ because the region $M^2 < q_5^2 < 2M^2$ is excluded from the domains of definition of $\Phi_N(q)$ (3.9) and $\Phi_\pm(q)$ (3.10a) in Table 1.

The reduction formulas (3.10b) can be generalized using $\varphi_a(x, x_5 - t_5)$ with $t_5 = \sqrt{x_o^2 - \mathbf{x}^2}$ instead of $\varphi_a(x, x_5)$. Then we obtain a formulation with the proper time where $\Phi_\pm(x) = \varphi_\pm(x, x_5 = t_5)$

Using (3.3a) and (3.8) one can represent (3.10b) in the 4D form

$$\varphi_\pm(x, x_5) = \int d^4y \Phi_\pm(x - y)\mathcal{P}_+(y, x_5), \tag{3.11}$$

Equations (3.3a,b) and (3.11) offer the different projections of the 5D fields $\varphi_\pm$ which are restricted with the relations (3.2).

4. 4D reduction of the 5D equations of motion and the boundary conditions for the fifth coordinate x_5.

According to the reduction formula (3.11), the 5D and 4D fields $\varphi_\pm$ and $\Phi_\pm$ satisfy the similar 4D equation of motion

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu} + m_\pm^2\Big)\varphi_\pm(x, x_5) = j_\pm(x, x_5), \tag{4.1a}$$

which for $x_5 = 0$ generates the usual Klein-Gordon equation for $\Phi_\pm(x)$ (3.10b)

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu} + m_\pm^2\Big)\Phi_\pm(x) = J_\pm(x) \tag{4.1b}$$

where

$$J_\pm(x) = j_\pm(x, x_5 = 0). \tag{4.2}$$

In virtue of (4.2) the 5D source operators $j_\pm(x, x_5)$ consist of products of the 5D fields $\varphi_\pm$ (3.1a,b) and their derivatives. The Fourier conjugate of these products are not embedded on the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$. Therefore, in analogy with $\varphi_\pm(x, x_5)$ in (3.3a,b) $j_\pm$ have a form

$$j_\pm(x, x_5) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-iqx}\Big[\mathcal{J}(q, Q_1^2)\Lambda_1(q^2)e^{-iQ_1x_5} \pm \mathcal{J}(q, Q_2^2)\Lambda_2(q^2)e^{-iQ_2x_5}\Big], \tag{4.3}$$

or

$$j_\pm(x, x_5) = \int d^5y \mathcal{J}(x - y, x_5 - y_5)\mathcal{P}_\pm(y, y_5), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathcal{J}(q, q_5^2)$ is Fourier conjugate of $\mathcal{J}(x, x_5)$.

The 4D source operator $J_\pm(x)$ and their Fourier conjugate $J_\pm(q)$ consist of four parts as well as the 5D field $\Phi_\pm$ (3.10b)

$$J_I(q) = \theta(q^2)\theta(M^2-q^2)\mathcal{J}(q, q_5^2 = M^2-q^2); \quad J_{II}(q) = \theta(q^2)\theta(-M^2+q^2)\mathcal{J}(q, q_5^2 = M^2+q^2);$$

$$J_{III}(q) = \theta(-q^2)\theta(-M^2-q^2)\mathcal{J}(q, q_5^2 = M^2-q^2); \quad J_{IV}(q) = \theta(-q^2)\theta(M^2+q^2)\mathcal{J}(q, q_5^2 = M^2+q^2). \tag{4.5a}$$

and

$$J_\pm(q) = \mathcal{J}(q, q_5^2 = M^2 - q^2)\Lambda_1(q^2) \pm \mathcal{J}(q, q_5^2 = M^2 + q^2)\Lambda_2(q^2). \tag{4.5b}$$

The relations (4.5a,b) allow to represent $j_\pm(x, x_5)$ as

$$j_\pm(x, x_5) = \int d^4y J_\pm(x - y)\mathcal{P}_+(y, x_5), \tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.1a) and (3.2) one obtains

$$M^2\Big[1 + \Big(\frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}\Big)^2\Big]\varphi_\pm = m_\mp^2\varphi_\mp - j_\mp. \tag{4.7}$$

The conditions (4.7) presents the relationship between the source 5D operators $j_\pm$, the masses $m_\pm$ and the operators $\Big[1 + \Big(\frac{1}{M}\partial/\partial x_5\Big)^2\Big]\varphi_\pm$. The factorization of the operator $\Big[1 + \Big(\frac{1}{M}\partial/\partial x_5\Big)^2\Big]$ allows to determine the evaluation equation for $\partial\varphi_\mp\partial x_5$ over the fifth coordinate x_5.

The combination of the conditions (3.2) and the equations of motion (4.1a) allows also determine the commutation relation between the operators $\partial^2/(\partial x_\mu \partial x^\mu)$ and $\partial^2/(\partial x_5 \partial x^5)$

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu \partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_5 \partial x^5} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_5 \partial x^5}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu \partial x^\mu}\Big)\varphi_\mp(x,x_5) = (m_\pm^2 - m_\mp^2)\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_5 \partial x^5} + M^2\Big)\varphi_\pm(x,x_5), \tag{4.8}$$

where we have used that the source operators $j_\pm(x,x_5)$ as well as the 5D equations (4.1a) are placed on the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ in the momentum space. Therefore, $j_\pm(x,x_5)$ satisfy the conditions

$$\frac{\partial^2 j_\pm(x,x_5)}{\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu} + \Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} + M^2\Big) j_\mp(x,x_5) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

5. Evaluation equations for the variable x_5.

In order to represent (4.7) in the factored form one can use the following evaluation equation

$$\frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial \varphi_\pm}{\partial x_5} = \alpha_\pm \varphi_+ + \beta_\pm \varphi_- + C_\pm, \tag{5.1}$$

where $C_\pm$ consist of the products of the fields. The Fourier conjugate of these products are not located on the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$. Therefore, $C_\pm$ are defined as

$$C_\pm(x,x_5) = \int \mathcal{C}_\pm(x-y, x_5 - y_5) d^5 y \mathcal{P}_+(y,y_5). \tag{5.2}$$

The choice of the parameters

$$\alpha_+^2 + \alpha_-\beta_+ = -1; \qquad \beta_-^2 + \alpha_-\beta_+ = -1 \tag{5.3}$$

in (5.1) and (4.7) allows to determine masses and source operators in (4.1a) as

$$m_+^2 = -2M^2\alpha_+\frac{1+\alpha_+^2}{\beta_+} \qquad j_+ = M^2\Big[\alpha_- C_+ + \beta_- C_- + \frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial C_-}{\partial x_5}\Big]; \tag{5.4}$$

$$m_-^2 = 2M^2\alpha_+\beta_+; \qquad j_- = M^2\Big[\alpha_+ C_+ + \beta_+ C_- + \frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial C_+}{\partial x_5}\Big]. \tag{5.5}$$

The ratio $m_+^2/m_-^2 = -(\alpha_+^2+1)/\beta_+^2$ in (5.4a,b) is negative. Therefore, the boundary conditions (5.1) can not generate the positive m_+^2 and m_-^2 simultaneously for the considered neutral fields.

One obtains $m_\pm^2 \geq 0$ for the neuthral particles in (4.7) using the following boundary condition

$$\varphi_- = \alpha\varphi_+ + G(\varphi_+, \varphi_-), \tag{5.6}$$

where G does not contain the linear over and $\varphi_\pm$ terms. For the sake of simplicity the dependence over $\partial\varphi_\pm/\partial x_\mu$ and $\partial\varphi_\pm/\partial x_5$ in G are omitted.

Acting with $\Big[1+\Big(\frac{1}{M}\partial/\partial x_5\Big)^2\Big]$ in (5.6) and using the conditions

$$m_+^2=\alpha^2 m_-^2;\qquad F=M^{-2}\Big[1+\alpha\frac{m_-^2}{M^2}+\Big(\frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}\Big)^2\Big]^{-1}G \tag{5.7}$$

one determine j_- via j_+ as

$$\alpha j_- - j_+ = F. \tag{5.8}$$

Thus starting with the Φ_+^4 model with the 4D source $J_+ = a\Phi_+^2 + b\Phi_+^3$ and mass m_+, and using the boundary conditions (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) one reproduces the 5D equations (4.1a) with $j_\pm$ and the masses $m_\pm^2$. These 5D equation of motion generates the 4D equation of motion with $J_- = (J_+ + F)/\alpha$ and the real mass $m_- = m_+/\alpha$.

For the charged fields factorization of (4.7) is convenient to use the following evolution equation for x_5

$$\Big[1-\frac{i}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}\Big]\varphi_\pm = C_\pm. \tag{5.9}$$

where $C_\pm$ depends generally on the products of the fields $\varphi_\pm^+$ and $\varphi_\pm$.

$$M^2\Big[1+\frac{i}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}\Big]C_\mp = m_\pm^2\varphi_\pm - j_\pm. \tag{5.10}$$

Unlike to (5.1) the condition (5.9) allows to obtain simultaneously positive m_+^2 and m_-^2.

The 5D equations (4.1a) are easy to modify in the form of the 5D Klein-Gordon equation $\Big(\partial^2/\partial x^\mu\partial x_\mu+\partial^2/\partial x^5\partial x_5+m_\pm^2\Big)\varphi_\pm(x,x_5)=\mathrm{J}_\pm(x,x_5)$ using the boundary conditions for $\Big(\partial\varphi_\pm\Big)/\partial x^5$. $\mathrm{J}_\pm-\partial^2\varphi_\pm/\partial x^5\partial x_5$ instead of $j_\pm(x,x_5)$ in (4.1a).

Present formulation has in common with the other 5D field-theoretical approaches within the proper time method [26, 27, 28, 29, 30] with $x_5^2\equiv\tau=x_0^2-\mathbf{x}^2\equiv x_\mu x^\mu$. Unlike to these 5D formulations our approach based on the invariance of the 6D and 5D forms (I.2a,b) and (I.3a,b) under the conformal transformations in the momentum space. Besides, the boundary equation (5.1), (5.6) and (5.9) for $x_5^2=\tau=x_0^2-\mathbf{x}^2\equiv x_\mu x^\mu$ essentially differs from a evolution equations in [26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. Nevertheless, one can use these 5D formulations for construction of the $\mathcal{J}(q,q_5^2)$ which can be projected on the 5D and 4D source operators $j_\pm(x,x_5)$ (4.1a) and $J_\pm(q)$ (4.1b).

6. The equivalent 5D and 4D Lagrangians.

The 4D and 5D Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_\pm(x,x_5)$ and $L_\pm(x)$ can be constructed similarly with the 4D and 5D projection formulas for $\varphi_\pm(x,x_5)$ (3.3a,b), (3.11) and $j_\pm(x,x_5)$ (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6).

$$L_\pm(x)=(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_o(x,x_5=0)+(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}(x,x_5=0), \tag{6.1a}$$

where the 5D Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm(x,x_5) = (\mathcal{L}_\pm)_o(x,x_5) + (\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}(x,x_5) + (\mathcal{L}_\pm)^C(x,x_5) \tag{6.1b}$$

consists of the non-interacting $(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_o$, interacting $(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}$ and constraint $(\mathcal{L}_\pm)^C$ parts. $(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_o$ and $(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}$ reproduce $\Big(\partial^2/\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu + m_\pm^2\Big)\varphi_\pm$ and $j_\pm$ in (4.1a). The third part of the Lagrangian (6.1b) $(\mathcal{L}_\pm)^C$ generates the constraints for $\partial\varphi_\pm/\partial x_5$ like (5.1) or (5.6) or (5.9).

$$(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_o = \frac{\partial {\varphi_\pm}^+}{\partial x_\mu}\frac{\partial \varphi_\pm}{\partial x^\mu} - m_\pm^2 {\varphi_\pm}^+ \varphi_\pm \tag{6.2}$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}(x,x_5) = \int d^5y (\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})_{INT}(x-y,x_5-y_5)\mathcal{P}_\pm(y,y_5), \tag{6.3a}$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}(x,x_5) = \int d^4y L_{\pm INT}(x-y)\mathcal{P}_+(y,x_5), \tag{6.3b}$$

where

$$j_\pm = \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}}{\partial {\varphi_\pm}^+} - \frac{d}{dx_\mu}\Big(\frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}}{\partial[\partial {\varphi_\pm}^+/\partial x^\mu]}\Big), \tag{6.4}$$

In the present formulation the 5D and 6D representation of the physical 4D fields are embedded on the 6D cone $\kappa_A\kappa^A = 0$ or on the 5D hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$. Therefore, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\pm)_{INT}$ in (6.3a) consist of the products of the 5D fields $\varphi_\pm$, ${\varphi_\pm}^+$ and their derivatives. Consequently, $L_{\pm INT}$ in (6.3b) and (6.1a) consist of the products of $\Phi_\pm$, ${\Phi_\pm}^+$ and their derivatives.

The full 4D Lagrangian $L_\pm$ is

$$L_\pm = \frac{\partial {\Phi_\pm}^+}{\partial x_\mu}\frac{\partial \Phi_\pm}{\partial x^\mu} - m^2 {\Phi_\pm}^+ \Phi_\pm + (L_\pm)_{INT}, \tag{6.5}$$

where $(L_\pm)_{INT}$ is obtained from $(\mathcal{L}_\pm)_{INT}$ at $x_5 = 0$ which generates the replacements $\varphi_\pm \Longleftrightarrow \Phi_\pm$.

In order to reproduce the constraints (5.9) one can take

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm^C = M^2|\chi_+ - \varphi_+ - C_+|^2 + M^2|\chi_- - \varphi_- - C_-|^2 + M^2|\zeta_+ + C_+ - \frac{{m_-}^2 - j_-}{M^2}|^2 + M^2|\zeta_- + C_- - \frac{{m_+}^2 - j_+}{M^2}|^2, \tag{6.6}$$

where $\chi_\pm$ and $\zeta_\pm$ are the independent fields

$$\chi_\pm \equiv \frac{i}{M}\frac{\partial\varphi_\pm}{\partial x_5}; \qquad \zeta_\pm \equiv \frac{i}{M}\frac{\partial C_\pm}{\partial x_5}. \tag{6.7}$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\pm^C$ (6.6) reproduces the constraint (5.9), i.e. the Lagrangian (6.6) generate the constrains which determine the relationships between φ_+ and φ_- in (4.7) and (3.2).

The equations (6.1a,b)-(6.6) allow to construct the 4D Lagrangians $L_{\pm}$ from the 5D Lagrangians $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm})_{INT}$ and vice versa. On the other hand, $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}$ determine the source operators $j_{\pm}$ (6.4) which is constructed from $\mathcal{J}(q.q_5^2 = Q_a^2)$ (4.2). Therefore, one has the following two recipe for construction of the 5D and 4D Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ and $L_{\pm}(x)$:

1. Starting from a 4D theory for the fields $\Phi_+(x)$, their Lagrangian $(L_+)_{INT} \equiv (L_+)_{INT}(\Phi_+^+, \Phi_+)$ and the corresponding equation of motion one can build the 5D representation of this field $\Phi_-(x)$ and the corresponding Lagrangian $L_-(\Phi_-^+, \Phi_-)$. This procedure implies splitting of $\Phi_+(q)$ and $J_+(q)$ into four parts according to (3.9) and (4.5a,b) which allow to construct $\Phi_-(x)$, $\varphi_-(x, x_5)$ and $j_-(x, x_5)$. Using the evolution equations for x_5 (5.1) or (5.6) one can reproduce $m_{\pm}^2$ as it is done in (5.5) and (5.6). Finally, one can construct the 4D Lagrangian $(L_-)_{INT} \equiv (L_-)_{INT}(\Phi_-^+, \Phi_-)$ from J_-.

2. An other recipe for construction of the 5D and 4D Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}$, and $L_{\pm}$ based on the 5D model of the general 5D Lagrangian $\mathrm{L}(x, x_5) \equiv \mathrm{L}(\phi^+, \phi)$, where the fourier conjugate of ϕ are not embedded on the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$. In the framework of the present approach it is necessary to perform the replacements $\phi \longrightarrow \varphi_+$ and $\phi \longrightarrow \varphi_-$ in $\mathrm{L}(\phi^+, \phi)$. Afterward we get the consistent source operator $\mathcal{J}(\varphi_{\pm}, \varphi_{\pm}^+)$ which determines $j_{\pm}$ and $J_{\pm}$ according to (4.5a,b) and (4.2). The replacements $\phi \longrightarrow \varphi_+$ and $\phi \longrightarrow \varphi_-$ in $\mathrm{L}(\phi^+, \phi)$ uses an additional conditions for the unambiguous determination of $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ (6.1b). These conditions are out of the scope of the present paper.

The masses $m_{\pm}^2$ in the considered recipe of construction of $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ must be determined according to the constraints for the variable x_5.

7. Gauge transformation of the fermion fields.

The Fourier conjugate of the interacted spin 1/2 fields $\psi_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ are the objects of the considered conformal transformations (1.1a)-(1.1d). Therefore, $\psi_{\pm}$ satisfy the conditions (3.2)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi_{\pm}(x, x_5)}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x_{\mu}} + \Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} + M^2\Big) \psi_{\mp}(x, x_5) = 0, \tag{7.1}$$

and in analogy with (3.3b) one can construct $\psi_{\pm}$ from the 5D spinor field $\Upsilon(x, x_5)$ as

$$\psi_{\pm}(x, x_5) = \int d^5 y \Upsilon(x - y, x_5 - y_5) \mathcal{P}_{\pm}(y, y_5), \tag{7.2}$$

where the Fourier conjugate of $\Upsilon(x, x_5)$ is not restricted under the conditions $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ and the projection operators $\mathcal{P}_{\pm}$ are defined in (3.9b).

Similarly with $\varphi_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ in (3.3a) the spin 1/2 fields $\psi_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ consist of the parts which are indicated in the domains I,II,III and IV in Table 1

$$\psi_I(q, q_5^2) = \theta(q^2)\theta(M^2 - q^2)\theta(M^2 - q_5^2)\Upsilon(q, q_5^2); \quad \psi_{II}(q, q_5^2) = \theta(q^2)\theta(-M^2 + q^2)\theta(q_5^2 - 2M^2)\Upsilon(q, q_5^2);$$

$$\psi_{III}(q, q_5^2) = \theta(-q^2)\theta(-M^2 - q^2)\theta(q_5^2 - 2M^2)\Upsilon(q, q_5^2); \quad \psi_{IV}(q, q_5^2) = \theta(-q^2)\theta(M^2 + q^2)\theta(M^2 - q_5^2)\Upsilon(q, q_5^2), \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$\psi_\pm(x,x_5)=\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-iqx}\Big[\sum_{N=I,III}\psi_N(q,Q_1^2)e^{-iQ_1x_5}\pm\sum_{N=II,IV}\psi_N(q,Q_2^2)e^{-iQ_2x_5}\Big],\qquad(7.4)$$

where Q_1 and Q_2 are given in (3.5).

For $x_5=0$ the 5D fields $\psi_\pm$ (7.4) transform into 4D fields $\Psi_\pm(x)$

$$\Psi_\pm(x)=\psi_\pm(x,x_5=0)=\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-iqx}\Big[\sum_{N=I,III}\Psi_N(q)\pm\sum_{N=II,IV}\Psi_N(q)\Big],\qquad(7.5)$$

where

$$\Psi_I(q)=\psi_I(q,q_5^2=M^2-q^2);\quad \Psi_{II}(q)=\psi_{II}(q,q_5^2=M^2+q^2);$$

$$\Psi_{III}(q)=\psi_{III}(q,q_5^2=M^2-q^2);\quad \Psi_{IV}(q)=\psi_{IV}(q,q_5^2=M^2+q^2)\qquad(7.6a)$$

and

$$\Psi_\pm(q)=\sum_{N=I,III}\Psi_N(q)\pm\sum_{N=II,IV}\Psi_N(q)\qquad(7.6b)$$

The 5D fields $\psi_\pm(x,x_5)$ (7.4) are four dimensional in the momentum space since one can rewrite (7.4) as

$$\psi_\pm(x,x_5)=\int d^4y\Psi_\pm(x-y)\mathcal{P}_+(y,x_5).\qquad(7.7)$$

According to the relationship (7.7) the 4D and 5D fields $\psi_\pm(x,x_5)$ and $\Psi_\pm(x)$ satisfy the similar 4D and 5D equation of motion. In particular, starting from equation of motion for the non-interacting fields $\Psi'_\pm(x)$ and $\psi'_\pm(x,x_5)$

$$\Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}-m_\pm\Big)\Psi'_\pm(x)=0;\qquad \Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}-m_\pm\Big)\psi'_\pm(x,x_5)=0.\qquad(7.8)$$

and using the gauge transformations

$$\Psi'_\pm(x)=\exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x,x_5=0)\Big)\Psi_\pm(x)\qquad(7.9a)$$

$$\psi'_\pm(x,x_5)=\int d^4y\exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x-y,0)\Big)\Psi_\pm(x-y)\mathcal{P}_+(y,x_5)\qquad(7.9b)$$

$$\psi'_\pm(x,x_5)=\int d^5y\exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x-y,x_5-y_5)\Big)\psi_\pm(x-y,x_5-y_5)\mathcal{P}_+(y,y_5)\qquad(7.9c)$$

we obtain the Dirac equations for the 4D and 5D interacted fields

$$\Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} - e\gamma_\mu \mathsf{A}^\mu(x,0) - m_\pm\Big)\Psi_\pm(x) = 0. \tag{7.10}$$

$$\int d^5y e^{ie\Lambda(x-y,x_5-y_5)}\Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} - e\gamma_\mu \mathsf{A}^\mu(x-y,x_5-y_5) - m_\pm\Big)\psi_\pm(x-y,x_5-y_5)\mathcal{P}_+(y,y_5) = 0. \tag{7.11}$$

where the $\Lambda(x, x_5)$ and $\mathsf{A}^\mu(x, x_5)$ are the same for $\psi_\pm$ and $\Psi_\pm$ in (7.9a,b,c), (7.10) and (7.11)

$$ie\mathsf{A}^\mu(x,x_5) = exp\Big(-ie\Lambda(x,x_5)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x,x_5)\Big). \tag{7.12a}$$

The Fourier conjugate of the 5D fields $\Lambda(x, x_5)$, $\mathsf{A}^\mu(x, x_5)$ consists of the two parts which are embedded into hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$. Consequently, one obtains $\Lambda_\pm(x, x_5)$ and $\mathsf{A}^\mu_\pm(x, x_5)$

$$ie\mathsf{A}^\mu_\pm(x,x_5) = exp\Big(-ie\Lambda_\pm(x,x_5)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\exp\Big(ie\Lambda_\pm(x,x_5)\Big). \tag{7.12b}$$

In (7.9a,b,c)-(7.12a) the same Λ and A^μ are used. Therefore, the lower indices $_\pm$ of these fields in these expressions are omitted.

In addition to (7.8) the non-interacting fields $\Psi'_\pm(x)$ and $\psi'_\pm(x, x_5)$ satisfy also the equations

$$\Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}+m_\pm\Big)\Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}-m_\pm\Big)\Psi'_\pm(x) = 0; \qquad \Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}+m_\pm\Big)\Big(i\gamma_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}-m_\pm\Big)\psi'_\pm(x,x_5) = 0. \tag{7.13}$$

The gauge transformations (7.9a)-(7.9c) in (7.13) generates the following equations

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu \partial x^\mu} + m_\pm^2\Big)\Psi_\pm(x) = \mathcal{J}_\pm(x). \tag{7.14a}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_\pm(x) = \Big(ie\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\mathsf{A}^\mu(x,0) + ie\mathsf{A}^\mu(x,0)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - e^2\mathsf{A}^\mu(x,0)\mathsf{A}_\mu(x,0)\Big)\Psi_\pm(x) \tag{7.14b}$$

$$\int d^5y exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x-y,x_5-y_5)\Big)\Big[\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu \partial x^\mu}+m_\pm^2\Big)\psi_\pm(x-y,x_5-y_5)-j_\pm(x-y,x_5-y_5)\Big]\mathcal{P}_+(y,y_5) = 0. \tag{7.15a}$$

$$j_\pm(x,x_5) = \Big(ie\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\mathsf{A}^\mu(x,x_5) + ie\mathsf{A}^\mu(x,x_5)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - e^2\mathsf{A}^\mu(x,x_5)\mathsf{A}_\mu(x,x_5)\Big)\psi_\pm(x,x_5) \tag{7.15b}$$

Unlike to the other 5D gauge transformations [27] the 5D equation of motion (7.15a) as well as the 5D gauge transformations (7.9b,c) have the integral form, because these gauge transformations are performed for the fields which are embedded into the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^5 = \pm M^2$. Nevertheless, the 4D reduction of these 5D fields and equations reproduce the usual 4D gauge transformation (7.9a) and the 4D equation of motion (7.10) since

$$j_\pm(x, x_5 = 0) = \mathcal{J}_\pm(x); \quad \psi_\pm(x, x_5 = 0) = \Psi_\pm(x). \tag{7.16}$$

The present formulation with the invariant hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^5 = \pm M^2$ requires that $\psi_\pm(x, x_5)$ and the free fields $\psi'_\pm(x, x_5)$ satisfy the equation (7.1), i.e.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi'_\pm(x, x_5)}{\partial x^\mu \partial x_\mu} + \Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} + M^2\Big)\psi'_\mp(x, x_5) = 0, \tag{7.17}$$

This condition must be valid before and after the gauge transformation (7.9c), because $\psi'_\mp(x, x_5)$ is the object of the conformal transformations in the momentum space (1.1a)-(1.1e). Therefore, using the gauge transformations (7.9c) and the definition of A_μ (7.12a) and $j_\pm$ (7.15b) we obtain

$$\int d^5y exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x-y, x_5-y_5)\Big)\Big[\Big(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_\pm(x-y, x_5-y_5)}{\partial x_\mu \partial x^\mu} - j_\pm(x-y, x_5-y_5)$$

$$+\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} + M^2\Big)\psi_\mp(x-y, x_5-y_5) - \mathtt{J}_\mp(x-y, x_5-y_5)\Big]\mathcal{P}_+(y, y_5) = 0. \tag{7.18a}$$

where

$$\mathtt{J}_\pm(x, x_5) = \Big(ie\frac{\partial}{\partial x^5}\mathsf{A}^5(x, x_5) + ie\mathsf{A}^5(x, x_5)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^5} - e^2\mathsf{A}^5(x, x_5)\mathsf{A}_5(x, x_5)\Big)\psi_\pm(x, x_5) \tag{7.18b}$$

$$ie\mathsf{A}^5(x, x_5) = exp\Big(-ie\Lambda(x, x_5)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}\exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x, x_5)\Big). \tag{7.18c}$$

Thus the gauge transformation (7.9c) and the necessary condition of the conformal transformations (1.1a-(1.1e) for the fermion (7.17) are consistent if

$$\mathtt{J}_\mp(x, x_5) = -j_\pm(x, x_5) \tag{7.19}$$

This condition allows to determine the relationship between $\mathsf{A}^5_\pm$ and $\mathsf{A}^\mu_\pm$.

In addition to (7.19) we consider the consistency condition between the 5D equation of motion (7.15a) and (7.18a) Combining (7.15a) and (7.18a) we get

$$\int d^5y exp\Big(ie\Lambda(x-y, x_5-y_5)\Big)\Big\{m^2_\pm\psi_\pm(x-y, x_5-y_5)$$

$$+M^2\Big[1 - \Big(\frac{i}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} - \frac{e}{M}\mathsf{A}^5\Big)\Big(\frac{i}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^5} - \frac{e}{M}\mathsf{A}_5\Big)\Big]\psi_\mp(x-y, x_5-y_5)\Big\}\mathcal{P}_+(y, y_5) = 0. \tag{7.20}$$

This condition can be factored in the same way as (5.1)

$$\Big(\frac{i}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} - \frac{e}{M}\mathsf{A}^5\Big)\psi_{\pm} = \alpha_{\pm}\psi_{+} + \beta_{\pm}\psi_{-} + C_{\pm}, \tag{7.21}$$

where $C_{\pm}$ is defined into hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ according to (5.2) and $C_{\pm}$ does not contain the linear terms over $\psi_{\pm}$.

The choice of the parameters $\alpha_{+}^2 + \alpha_{-}\beta_{+} = 1$, $\beta_{-}^2 + \alpha_{-}\beta_{+} = 1$ and $\alpha_{+} = \beta_{-}$ in (7.21) and (7.20) allows to determine $m_{\pm}$ and $j_{\pm}$ as

$$m_{+}^2 = -2M^2\alpha_{-}\alpha_{+}; \qquad m_{-}^2 = -2M^2\alpha_{+}\beta_{+}; \qquad \alpha_{-} = \frac{1-\alpha_{+}^2}{\beta_{+}} \tag{7.22a}$$

$$j_{+} = -M^2\Big[\alpha_{-}C_{+} + \beta_{-}C_{-} + \frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial C_{-}}{\partial x_5}\Big]; \qquad j_{-} = -M^2\Big[\alpha_{+}C_{+} + \beta_{+}C_{-} + \frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial C_{+}}{\partial x_5}\Big] \tag{7.22b}$$

One can rewrite the formulas for $m_{\pm}^2$ (7.22a) in more convenient form

$$\frac{m_{+}^2}{m_{-}^2} = \frac{1-\alpha_{+}^2}{\beta_{+}^2}; \qquad \frac{m_{+}^2 m_{-}^2}{4M^2} = \alpha_{+}^2(1-\alpha_{+}^2); \qquad \alpha_{+}^2 = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_{+}^2 m_{-}^2}{M^4}}}{2} \tag{7.23}$$

The ratio m_{+}^2/m_{-}^2 and the product $m_{+}^2 m_{-}^2/4M^4$ in (7.23) are positive if

$$-1 < \alpha_{+} < 0; \qquad 1 - \frac{m_{+}^2 m_{-}^2}{M^4} \geq 0; \qquad \mathit{or} \qquad \frac{m_{+}^2 m_{-}^2}{M^4} < 1. \tag{7.24}$$

. Therefore, the constraint (7.23) or (7.24) can generate the positive m_{+}^2 and m_{-}^2 simultaneously within the considered boundary condition (7.21) for the spin 1/2 fields after the appropriate choice of the scale factor M. In particular, one can reproduce the masses of the electron and muon $m_{+} = m_{el}$ and $m_{-} = m_{\mu}$ if $M^2 \geq m_{el}m_{\mu}$.

8. Gauge transformation as generalized translation of the 4D momentum

The gauge transformation of the 4D momentum $q^{\mu\prime} = q^{\mu} - eA^{\mu}(q)$ and and the field $\Psi'_{\pm}(x)$ (7.9a) can be considered as the generalized translation of the four-momentum $q^{\mu\prime} = q^{\mu} + h^{\mu}$ (1.1a). Similarly, the 5D gauge transformation (7.9c) generates the translations

$$q^{\mu\prime} = q^{\mu} - e\mathsf{A}^{\mu}(q, q_5^2) \Longleftrightarrow i\partial/\partial x'^{\mu} = i\partial/\partial x^{\mu} - e\mathsf{A}^{\mu}(x, x_5) \tag{8.1a}$$

$$q^{5\prime} = q^{5} - e\mathsf{A}^{5}(q, q_5^2) \Longleftrightarrow i\partial/\partial x'_5 = i\partial/\partial x_5 - e\mathsf{A}^{5}(x, x_5) \tag{8.1b}$$

with the 5D fields $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}$, $\mathsf{A}^{5}_{\pm}$, $\psi_{\pm}$ and $\psi'_{\pm}$. Moreover, for $x_5 = 0$ we obtain the 4D Dirac equations (7.10) for Ψ_{+} and Ψ_{-} with the different masses and source operators.

One can construct A^{μ} and A^5 starting from the 6D gauge translations

$$\kappa_C{}' = \kappa_C - ea_C(\kappa) \Longleftrightarrow i\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi'^C} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^C} - ea_C(\xi) \tag{8.2a}$$

$$\psi'(\xi) = \exp\Big(ie\lambda(\xi)\Big)\psi(\xi); \qquad\qquad a_C(\xi) = \exp\Big(-ie\lambda(\xi)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^C}\exp\Big(ie\lambda(\xi)\Big), \quad (8.2b)$$

where $C \equiv \mu; 5, 6 = 0, 1, 2, 3; 5, 6$ and the Fourier conjugate of $\psi'(\xi)$, $\psi(\xi)$, $\lambda(\xi)$ and $a_C(\xi)$ are not placed on the cone $\kappa_C\kappa^C = 0$.

Next we put $a_C(\xi)$ and $\psi(\xi)$ on the invariant 6D cone

$$\kappa_C\kappa^C = \kappa'_C\kappa'^C = \Big(\kappa_C - ea_C(\kappa)\Big)\Big(\kappa^C - ea^C(\kappa)\Big) = 0, \qquad (8.3)$$

where $q_\mu = \kappa_\mu/\kappa_+$ and $\kappa_\pm = (\kappa_5 \pm \kappa_6)/M$ (2.1b). The invariance of κ_+ under the 4D translations (8.2a) requires $a_5(k) = -a_6(k)$. Then the invariance of the 6D cone (8.3) allows to determine a_5 and κ'_- through a_μ as

$$a_5(k) = \frac{1}{2M\kappa_+}\Big(-a_\nu(\kappa)\kappa^\nu - \kappa^\nu a_\nu(\kappa) + ea_\nu(\kappa)a^\nu(\kappa)\Big); \qquad \frac{\kappa'_-}{\kappa_+} = \frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+} - e\frac{a_5(k)}{\kappa_+}. \quad (8.4)$$

The present 4D reduction of the 6D fields $a_\mu(\kappa)$ and $\psi(\kappa)$ based on the intermediate projection into 5D hyperboloids

$$\kappa_C\kappa^C = 0 \Longrightarrow q^2 + M^2\frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+} = 0 \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} q^2 + q_5^2 = M^2 & \text{for domains } I \;\&\; III; \\ q^2 - q_5^2 = -M^2 & \text{for domains } II \;\&\; IV, \end{cases} . \quad (8.5)$$

where domains I, II, III, IV of q^2 and q_5^2 are defined in the Table 1 and the relationship between $\kappa_\pm$ and q_5^2 are given in (I.3a,b) or (2.2a,b). The corresponding 5D reduction of the 6D fields $a_\mu(\kappa)$ and $\psi(\kappa)$ are

$$\Upsilon(q, q_5^2) = 2\int k_+^3 d\kappa_+\theta(\kappa_+)\frac{\psi(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+) + \psi(q, q_5^2, -\kappa_+)}{2}, \qquad (8.6a)$$

where $\Upsilon(q, q_5^2)$ is Fourier conjugate of $\Upsilon(x, x_5)$ in (7.2).

$$\mathcal{A}^\mu(q, q_5^2) = 2\int k_+^3 d\kappa_+\theta(\kappa_+)\frac{a^\mu(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+) + a^\mu(q, q_5^2, -\kappa_+)}{2\kappa_+}; \qquad (8.6b)$$

$$\mathsf{B}^5(q, q_5^2) = 2\int k_+^3 d\kappa_+\theta(\kappa_+)\frac{a^5(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+) + a^5(q, q_5^2, -\kappa_+)}{2\kappa_+}. \qquad (8.6c)$$

The auxiliary gauge field B^5 (8.6c) is defined through $a^\mu(q, q_5^2, \pm\kappa_+)$ according to (8.4).

The 5D gauge fields $\mathsf{A}^\mu_\pm(x, x_5)$ which Fourier conjugate are placed on the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ is determined through the convolution formula

$$\mathsf{A}^\mu_\pm(x, x_5) = \int d^5y\mathcal{A}^\mu(x - y, x_5 - y_5)\mathcal{P}_\pm(y, y_5), \qquad (8.7)$$

This formula determine the 4D gauge field $\mathsf{A}^\mu_\pm(x) = \mathsf{A}^\mu_\pm(x, 0)$ through $\mathcal{A}^\mu_N(q, q_5^2)$ in the domains $N = I.II, III, IV$ from Table 1

$$\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x) = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \exp{(-iqx)} \Big[\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{I}(q) \pm \mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{II}(q) + \mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{III}(q) \pm \mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{IV}(q)\Big]. \tag{8.8}$$

The convolution formula (8.7) can be represented in the 4D form

$$\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x, x_5) = \int d^4y \mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x-y)\mathcal{P}_{+}(y, x_5). \tag{8.9}$$

This representation of $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ indicates that the 5D and 4D fields $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ and $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x)$ satisfy the same 4D equations of motion. On the other hand $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x, x_5)$ consists of the parts placed on the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ and satisfy the similar to (3.2) and (7.1) 5D conditions

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x, x_5)}{\partial x^{\mu}\partial x_{\mu}} + \Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^5 \partial x_5} + M^2\Big)\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\mp}(x, x_5) = 0, \tag{8.10}$$

The consistency condition of (8.10) and the corresponding equation of motion has the same form as (5.1) or (5.6) for the scalar field. Thus the present 5D formulation allows to construct simultaneously the 4D fields $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{+}(x)$ and $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{-}(x)$ which consists from the same parts A^{μ}_{I}, $\pm\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{II}$, A^{μ}_{III} and $\pm\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{IV}$. $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{+}(x)$ and $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{-}(x)$ have the same quantum numbers and different masses and source operators. For the photon field $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{+}(x)$ the role of $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{-}(x)$ can play the ρ^0-meson or the Z-boson.

Other 5D and 6D gauge transformations [6, 3, 27, 21] with the auxiliary fields $A_5(x_{\mu}, x_5)$ and $a_{5,6}(\xi)$ violates invariance of the 6D or 5D forms $\kappa_B\kappa^B = 0$ and $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$, i.e. one can consider these gauge transformations as the input 5D or 6D fields in (8.6b) and (8.7) for the construction of the physical 4D fields $\mathsf{A}^{\mu}_{\pm}(x)$.

It must be noted that the gauge transformations can be performed also for the neutral (uncharged) particles. Within the nonlinear σ model [8, 34] for the triplet of the neutral auxiliary pion fields π^{α} ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, $\pi^{\pm} = 1/2(\pi^1 \pm i\pi^2)$; $\pi^0 \equiv \pi^3$) is replaced with the interpolating pion field

$$\pi^{\alpha}(x) = \mathcal{U}(x)\chi^{\alpha}(x), \tag{8.11}$$

where in [8, 34] $\mathcal{U}(x) = \Big(1 + \chi^2(x)/4f_{\pi}^2\Big)^{-1}$, $f_{\pi} = 93\ MeV$ is the pion decay constant and $\chi^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \chi^{\alpha}\chi^{\alpha}$. The replacement (8.11) generates the following transformations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}\pi^{\alpha} = \mathcal{U}\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} + \mathcal{D}^{\mu}\Big]\chi^{\alpha}; \quad \mathcal{D}^{\mu} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}\mathcal{U} \tag{8.12}$$

which is generalization of the gauge transformations (7.12a,b) for the neutral fields.

9. Summary

The present approach based on the equivalence of the 6D rotations on the cone $\kappa_A\kappa^A = 0$ (I.2a,b) and the conformal transformations (1.1a)-(1.1e) of the four-momentum. This equivalence allows to connect the Fourier conjugate of an arbitrary 6D field $\delta\Big(\kappa_A\kappa^A\Big)\varsigma(\kappa)$ (I.4) with the 5D field $\varphi(x, x_5)$ which for $x_5 = 0$ produces the usual 4D field in the coordinate space $\Phi(x) = \varphi(x, x_5 = 0)$. The Fourier conjugate of the 5D field $\varphi(x, x_5)$ (I.6a,b) are determined with the 5D field $\phi(q, q_5^2)$ placed in the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$, where $\phi(q, q_5^2)$ is determined via the 6D field ς in (I.7). The choice of the 5D invariant forms $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$ in (I.6a,b) corresponds to extraction of the $O(2,3)$ and $O(1,4)$ subgroups from the conformal group $O(2,4)$ and the projection in the corresponding intermediate 5D momentum spaces with the constant curvature. This procedure of the 5D and 6D representations of a 4D field $\Phi(x)$ determines the sixth and fifth dimensions as the auxiliary dimensions. Nevertheless, the reduction and convolution formulas (I.6a,b), (I.7), (3.3b), (3.11), (7.2), (7.7), (8.7) and (8.9) allow to incorporate any 6D or 5D model of the fields in the present scheme for construction of $\Phi(x)$.

The main result of this paper is doubling of the 4D fields and the corresponding 4D equation of motion obtained in the framework of the considered conformal 5D and 6D generalization. This doubling is the result of the intermediate projections of the 6D cone $\kappa_A\kappa^A = 0$ into two 5D hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$. Consequently, the Fourier conjugate of the 5D fields $\varphi(x, x_5)$ (I.5) consists of the two parts φ_1 and φ_2 which are placed into the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$. Therefore, the boundary 5D conditions (I.9), (3.2) and (7.1) have the form of the coupled equations for the 5D fields $\varphi_\pm = \varphi_1 \pm \varphi_2$ and $\psi_\pm = \psi_1 \pm \psi_2$. We have demonstrated, that the 5D and the corresponding 4D equation of motions for the 5D and the 4D fields $\varphi_+(x_5 = 0) = \Phi_+$ and $\varphi_+(x_5 = 0) = \Phi_-$ differs with the masses $m_\pm$ and sources. Depending on the four parts of the 4D fields in (3.9) or in (7.6a) one can separate the $24 = 4!$ states with the same quantum numbers and the different masses and source operators. For the nucleons and pions the required states are observed as the resonances $N(1440)$ and $\pi(1300)$ [1]. This splitting of the particle states with the different masses and the source operators can bring to light the flavor problem as the quantum number of the electron, muon and tau with the corresponding neutrinos.

The considered 5D and 4D fields $\varphi_\pm$ and $\Phi_\pm(x) = \varphi(x, x_5 - 0)$ contains only the part of the basic 5D field $\phi(q, q_5^2)$ as it is given in (3.9) or in (7.3) and (7.6a) for the fermions. Therefore, unlike to other 5D theories in the present approach the condition at $x_5 = 0$ is not sufficient for the 4D reduction of the 5D fields because it is necessary to also replace the basic 5D fields $\phi(q, q_5^2)$ with their parts which are placed into the hyperboloids $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = \pm M^2$.

The scalar 5D fields $\varphi_\pm(x, x_5)$ satisfies the 5D Klein-Gordon equation (4.1a) which according to the convolution formula (3.11) for $x_5 = 0$ produces the 4D Klein-Gordon equation (4.1b). The 5D and 4D fields $\psi_\pm(x, x_5)$ and $\psi_\pm(x, x_5 = 0) = \Psi_\pm(x)$ and the corresponding 4D and 5D Dirac equations (7.10) and (7.11) are coupled in Section 7 through the gauge transformations (7.9a,b).

The constrains for $\partial\varphi_\pm/\partial x_5$ and $\partial\psi_\pm/\partial x_5$ (5.1) or (5.6) and (7.21) play the role of the evaluation equation over x_5. On the other hand (5.1) or (5.6) and (7.21) are the consistency conditions between the 5D Klein-Gordon (4.1a) and (3.2) or between the 5D Dirac equation (7.11) and (7.1).

In Section 8 the present approach is expanded using the generalized translations of the four momentum. Other applications of this approach offer the various 5D field-theoretical models [31, 32, 27] of the 5D fields $\phi(x, x_5)$.

I am sincerely grateful to V.G.Kadyshevsky for numerous constructive and fruitful discussions. I am thankful to A. Machavariani (junior) and G. Münster for the current interest in this work.

Appendix A. Alternative choice of the 5D hyperboloids

Instead of the two 5D hyperboloids (I.3a,b) or (2.2a,b) one can use other hyperboloids for the intermediate 5D projections

$$q^2 \pm q_5^2 = M^2 \qquad \mathit{with} \qquad q_5^2 = M^2 \frac{\pm 2\kappa_5}{\kappa_5 + \kappa_6}, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\kappa_+ = (\kappa_5 + \kappa_6)/M$ is fixed. Therefore, the 5D reduction of the 6D field is

$$\varsigma(q, q_5^2, \kappa_+) = \delta(\kappa_+ - \frac{\mathcal{M}}{M})\phi(q, q_5^2, \mathcal{M}). \tag{A.2}$$

Table 2 *Domains of* q^2, q_5^2, κ_5 *and* κ_6 *placed on the hyperboloids* $q^2 \pm q_5^2 = M^2$ *(A.1) and on the surface (2.1a).*

	I	**II**
	$q^2 + q_5^2 = M^2$	$q^2 - q_5^2 = M^2$
q^2	$-\infty < q^2 \leq M^2$	$M^2 < q^2 < \infty$
q_5^2	$0 \leq q_5^2 < \infty$	$0 < q_5^2 < \infty$
$\kappa_5 \& \kappa_6$	$0 \leq \kappa_5 \leq \infty; \quad \kappa_6 = -\kappa_5 + \mathcal{M}$	$-\infty < \kappa_5 < 0; \quad \kappa_6 = -\kappa_5 + \mathcal{M}$

This choice of the 5D hyperboloids modifies Λ_a (3.4) and the projection operator $\mathcal{P}_\pm$ (3.6a)

$$\Lambda_a(q^2) = \begin{cases} \theta(M^2 - q^2) & \text{if } a = 1; \\ \theta(q^2)\theta(-M^2 + q^2) & \text{if } a = 2, \end{cases} \tag{A.3}$$

Unlike to the domains for q^2 in Table 1 the domains for q^2 in Table 2 are not symmetric under the reflections $q^2 \Longleftrightarrow -q^2$.

References

[1] K. Nakamura et al.(Particle Data Group) J. Phys. G **37** (2010) 075021.

[2] P.A.M. Dirac, Ann. Math. **37** (1936) 429.

[3] H. A. Kastrup, Phys. Rev.**150**(1966) 1183;

[4] L. Castell, Nuovo Cim.**A46**(1966) 1; L. Castell, Nuovo Cim.**A49**(1967) 285.

[5] L. Castell, Nucl. Phys. **B4**(1967) 343.

[6] G. Mack and A. Salam, Ann. Phys.**53**(1969) 174.

[7] S. Ferrara, R. Gatto and A.F. Grilo, Ann. Phys. **76** (1973) 161; S. Ferrara, R. Gatto and A.F. Grilo,in Scale and Conformal Symmetry in Hadron Physics (ed. R. Gatto), Wiley, New York, 1974;

[8] V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan and C. Rosseti, Currents in Hadron Physics; (North-Holland, Amsterdam) 1973.

[9] B.G. Konopelchenko,Sov. J. Elem. Part. and At. Nucl. (in Russian) **11**(1977) 135.

[10] J. Backers, J. Harnad, M. Perroud and P. Winternitz, J. Math. Phys.**19**(1978) 2126.

[11] A.O.Barut and R. Raczka, Theory of Group Representations and Applications;PWN,Warszawa, 1977.

[12] P. Budinich and R. Raczka, Found. Phys.**23**(1993) 599.

[13] R. Mirman, Quantum Field Theory Conformal Group Theory Conformal Field Theory: Mathematical And Conceptual Foundations Physical And Geometrical Applications;Paperback, Backinprint.com, 2005.

[14] V.M. Braun, G. P. Korchemsky and D. Müller, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51** (2003) 311.

[15] E. S. Fradkin and M.Y. Palchik, Conformal Quantum Field Theory in D-Dimensions, in: Mathematics and its Applications V.376 Kluwer,Dordrecht, Netherlands, New York, 1996; E. S. Fradkin and M.Y. Palchik, Phys.Rep.**300** (1998) 1.

[16] I. T. Todorov, Conformal Description of Spinning Particles; Springer, New York, 1986; Todorov I. T., Minchev M.C. and Petkova V.B. // Conformal covariance in quantum field theory; (Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1978.

[17] P. Budinich, Found. Phys. **32**(2002) 1347.

[18] H. Bacry, Ann. Ins. H. Pouncare, **49**(1988) 245; H. Bacry, Localizabelity and Space in Quantum Physics; Lect. Notes in Phys. **308** (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1988).

[19] V. G. Kadyshevsky, J.Exp. Theor. Phys. (in Russian)**41**(1961) 1885.

[20] V. G. Kadyshevsky, Sov.J. Elem. Part. and At. Nucl. (in Russian) **11**(1980) 5; Preprint JINR,(in Russian) 2-84-753.

[21] V. G. Kadyshevsky and M. D. Mateev, Nuovo Cim.**87A**(1985)324; M. V. Chizhov, A. D. Donkov, R. M. Ibadov, V. G. Kadyshevsky and M. D. Mateev, Nuovo Cim.**87A**(1985)351 and 373.

[22] W. Heisenberg, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)**5**(1938) 20; H. P. Dürr and W. Heisenberg, Z. Natur. **16a**(1961) 726.

[23] M. A. Markov, Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. Commamemory Issue for 30-th Annniveversary of Meson Theory by Dr. A. Yukawa (1965) 865; J.Exp. Theor. Phys. (in Russian) **51**(1966) 878.

[24] G. Mack and I. T. Todorov, Phys. Rev.**D8**(1973) 1764.

[25] J. R. Bjorken and S. D. Drell. Relativistic Quantum Fields; New York, McGrew-Hill, 1963.

[26] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber. Quantum Field Theory; New York, McGrew-Hill, 1980.

[27] J. L. Fanchi, Found. Phys.**22**(1993)487.

[28] M. C. Land, Found. Phys.**27**(1997) 19.

[29] R. Kubo, Nuovo Cim.**A85**(1985)293.

[30] D. M. Greenberger, J. Math. Phys.**11**(1970)2329 and 2341; ibid. **15**(1974)395 and 406.

[31] H.S. Snyder, Phys. Rev.**71** (1947) 384; ibid **72** (1947) 68.

[32] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **72** (1947) 874L; M.R. Hamilton and G. Sandri, Phys. Rev.**D8** (1973)1788.

[33] S. Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields; Cambridge, University Press, 1995.

[34] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev.**D2**(1970)674; ibid **177**(1969)2604.